# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/088,699	06/14/2002	Ikuo Nishimoto	082376-000000US	2315	
Joe Liebeschue	7590 10/18/2007		EXAM	INER	
Townsend & Townsend & Crew			VOGEL, 1	VOGEL, NANCY S	
8th Floor Two Embarcadero Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834			1636		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/088,699	NISHIMOTO, IKUO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nancy T. Vogel	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time 16(a) iiii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Au</u>	iaust 2007.					
_	action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 2-4,18,20 and 22 is/are pending in the	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,18,20 and 22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,18,20 and 22</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.						
<u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	•				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/6/07</u> .  6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1636

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/6/07 has been entered.

Claims 2-4, 18, 20, 22 are pending in the case. Claim 3 is withdrawn.

Receipt of the Information Disclosure Statement on 8/6/07 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2, 18, 20, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1636

This rejection is maintained essentially for the reasons made of record in the previous Office action mailed 3/2/07, but limited to the recitation in claim 2 of the phrase "detecting a suppressive effect on Alzheimer's Disease due to the expression of a nucleic acid of the library".

The claims are drawn to methods of screening for a suppressor gene of Alzheimer's Disease, wherein said method comprises the steps of (a) expressing in a population of cells a library of nucleic acids obtained from or synthesized from nucleic acids expressed in a tissue of an organism suffering from a disorder, wherein said tissue is obtained from an organ showing cell death as a pathological feature of the disorder; (b) detecting a suppressive effect on the disorder due to the expression of a nucleic acid of the library; and (c) selecting the nucleic acid having the suppressive effect; thereby identifying a disorder suppressor gene. The claims encompass any suppressive effect. The claims do not provide any information with regard to which suppressive effects would be selected. Thus, the rejected claims comprise a set of methods utilizing cells/nucleic acids/organs that are defined by their function in identifying a nucleic acid "having a suppressive effect on Alzheimer's Disease."

To provide adequate written description and evidence of possession of a claimed genus, the specification must provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the genus. The factors to be considered include disclosure of a complete or partial structure, physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, and any combination thereof. The specification describes

Art Unit: 1636

the preparation of a cDNA library from the brain sample of a patient with Alzheimer's disease (AD). The cDNAs were transfected into FII/EcR/V6421I cells, which were then treated with ecdysone for 72 hours, and then plasmids were recovered from the surviving cells (see instant specification at, e.g., page 37, lines 8-36). The specification also describes how sequencing of the clones revealed the sequence for humanin (HN), which was subcloned into the pFLAG vector to yield pHN (see, e.g., Example 3 on pages 38-39). FII cells transfected with pHN were protected from the toxic effects of the familial Alzheimer's disease genes V642I APP, NL-APP, MI46L PS-I, and NI41I PS-2 (ibid and Figure 5). No description of any other working examples utilizing any other effects are disclosed.

Even if one accepts that the examples described in the specification meet the claim limitations of the rejected claims with regard to structure and function, the examples are only representative of a method showing cell death as a pathological feature of the disorder for which a disorder suppressor gene was identified. The results are not necessarily predictive of the method involving suppression of any other features of Alzheimer's.

Given the very large genus of the term "suppressive effect on Alzheimer's Disease", a disease for which characterization and understanding is limited, it is concluded that the specification has not provided a description of the claims throughout its scope. Therefore, the skilled artisan would have reasonably concluded Applicant was not in possession of the claimed invention for claims 2, 18, 20, 22.

Art Unit: 1636

Applicant's arguments filed 8/6/07 have been considered but have not been found convincing.

Applicants have argued that present claims are directed to a method screening for a candidate suppressor gene rather than for a method of treating Alzheimer's Disease, and that the claims do not require that every gene identified ultimately prove to be a useful gene for treating Alzheimer's disease (page 6-7). However, while this is acknowledged, it is maintained that the rejected claims include a step of screening or detecting "a suppressive effect on Alzheimer's Disease", while the claim which is not included in the instant rejection, i.e. claim 4, specifies that the effect which is examined is cell death. Therefore, the rejection is maintained for claims 2, 18, 20 and 22, for which there is no effect specified.

### Conclusion

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nancy T. Vogel whose telephone number is (571) 272-0780. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 3:30, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NTV 10/12/07

NANCY VUGEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER